1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 5 6 KEITH L. NASH, 7 Petitioner, Case No. C04-5785FDB 8 ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S 9 DOUG WADDINGTON, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT 10 Respondent. 11 12 This habeas petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) was denied as time barred and 13 judgment was entered for respondent. Petitioner appealed and the Ninth Circuit denied certificate of 14 appealability. Petitioner now moves pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6) for relief from Judgment or 15 Order. He seeks to relitigate the timeliness of his petition. Respondent has filed a response in 16 opposition, and the objections therein are well taken. This Court sees no basis to relieve Petitioner 17 from the judgment herein. Following Respondent's response, Petitioner moved to amend his motion. 18 This motion is ill-conceived and futile. 19 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED: Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment [Dkt. # 20 54] is DENIED, pending motions to proceed IFP and to file an amended motion are STRICKEN, 21 and this cause of action is DISMISSED. 22 DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December 2005. 23 24 25 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 27

ORDER - 1

28